#### CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM SMALL-SCALE PROGRAM ACTIVITY DESIGN DOCUMENT FORM (CDM-SSC-CPA-DD) Version 01

#### CONTENTS

- A. General description of CDM <u>programme activity (CPA)</u>
- B. Eligibility of CPA and Estimation of Emission Reductions
- C. Environmental Analysis
- D. Stakeholder comments

Annexes

- Annex 1: Contact information on entity/individual responsible for the CPA
- Annex 2: Information regarding public funding
- Annex 3: Baseline information
- Annex 4: Monitoring plan

#### NOTE:

(i) This form is for submission of CPAs that apply a small scale approved methodology using the provision of the proposed small scale CDM PoA.

(ii) The coordinating/managing entity shall prepare a CDM Small Scale Programme Activity Design Document (CDM-SSC-CPA-DD)<sup>6,7</sup> that is specified to the proposed PoA by using the provisions stated in the SSC PoA DD. At the time of requesting registration the SSC PoA DD must be accompanied by a CDM-SSC CPA-DD form that has been specified for the proposed SSC PoA, as well as by one completed CDM-SSC CPA-DD (using a real case). After the first CPA, every CPA that is added over time to the SSC PoA must submit a completed CDM-SSC CPA-DD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The latest version of the template form CDM-CPA-DD is available on the UNFCCC CDM web site in the reference/document section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> At the time of requesting validation/registration, the coordinating managing entity is required to submit a completed CDM-POA-DD, the PoA specific CDM-CPA-DD, as well as one of such CDM-CPA-DD completed (using a real case).

SECTION A.	General description of small scale CDM programme activity (CPA)
------------	---

A.1. Title o	of the <u>small-sca</u>	le CPA:			
>> Municipal for	Solid	Waste	(MSW)	composting	project
Version: Date:DD/					

### A.2. Description of the small-scale CPA:

>>

>>

This CPA is being proposed under the Vietnam MSW composting PoA and represents the MSW composting activity in \_\_\_\_\_\_ city of Social republic of Vietnam. The CPA implemented as per the same implementation framework as described in the Vietnam MSW composting PoA-DD.

MSW management  $\mathbf{is}$ important responsibility of an peoples' committee which is the local administrative authority. MSW collected in city is primarily land filled in sanitary landfill, as result of which significant amount of methane is emitted to them atmosphere. The purpose of this CPA is to avoid such methane emissions by processing the organic fractions of the waste (which are reason of the methane emissions from the landfill site) aerobically in a composting facility. This CPA proposes to set up an aerobic composting facility in\_ city for processing the MSW in an environmentally friendly and sustainable way. The project would also generate local employment.

It is proposed to handle\_\_\_\_\_tons of MSW per day or tons of MSW per annum at the composting facility. About\_\_\_\_\_\_tons of compost product would be generated per annum resulting in an average of about t CO2e per year of emission reduction for the first 7 year crediting period.

#### A.3. Entity/individual responsible for the <u>small-scale CPA</u>:

>> Here the information on the entity/individual responsible of the CPA shall be included, hence forth referred to as CPA implementer(s). CPA implementers can be project participants of the PoA, under which the CPA is submitted, provided their name is included in the registered PoA.

(Project implementer's information on the entity/individual responsible).

#### A.4. Technical description of the small-scale CPA:

#### A.4.1. Identification of the small-scale CPA:

A.4.1.1.	<u>Host Party</u> :	
>>		
Social republic of Vietnam		
A.4.1.2.	Geographic reference or	other means of identification

city of

#### allowing the unique identification of the small-scale CPA (maximum one page):

>>Geographic reference or other means of identification<sup>8</sup>, Name/contact details of the entity/individual responsible for the CPA, e.g. in case of stationary CPA geographic reference, in case of mobile CPAs means such as registration number, GPS devices.

Table A.4.1	Identification of CPA
Component	Details
Name of City	
	city
Type of City	
	level
Latitude and longitude of composting plant	е°, "
	N <u>° ' "</u>
District	
	district
Nearest airport	
	airport

Vietn	am is	locate	d in th	e east coa	st of Ir	ndo-(	Chin	a peni	insula	ι and	nex	ct to (	Cam	bodia	, Lao a	nd
south	en	nd of	Chi	na. Th	e ma	p	$\mathbf{is}$	show	ing	the	lo	ocatio	n	of	Vietna	m.
City i	s loca	ated in			_ prov	vince	whi	ich is l	locate	ed in	(n	orth/	cent	ral/so	<u>uth) p</u>	art
of Vie	etnan	n. It is	abou <sup>-</sup>	t		km	far	in _			dire	ection	ı of	capito	ol city	of
Hano	i. Th	e com	posting	g facility	is loc	ated	in	the _						dist	rict(s)	of
city.	The	latitu	de is	E	0			,			<b>"</b> ,	and	the	long	gitude	is
Ν	0	:	1	»												

CPA will be constructed next to the present landfill site, which no incremental transportation of waste will occur in this CPA.

> Figure A.4.1 Map of \_\_\_\_\_ city CPA location

#### A.4.2. **Duration of the small-scale CPA:**

#### A.4.2.1. Starting date of the small-scale CPA:

>>

(the date on which the contract is awarded to the contractor for construction of the

#### (DD/MM/YY)

composting plant)

#### A.4.2.2. Expected operational lifetime of the small-scale CPA:

>>

The lifetime as CDM project is \_\_\_\_\_years.

<sup>8</sup> E.g. in case of stationary CPA geographic reference, in case of mobile CPAs means such as registration number, GPS devices.

 $CDM-Executive \ Board$ 

#### A.4.3. Choice of the <u>crediting period</u> and related information:

**<u>Renewable crediting period</u>**; 7 years x \_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_ years

(*ii*)

>>

#### A.4.3.1. Starting date of the crediting period:

(DD/MM/YY of completion of construction) or date of registration of the CPA whichever is later.

# A.4.3.2. Length of the <u>crediting period</u>, first crediting period if the choice is <u>renewable CP</u>:

>>

NOTE: Please note that the duration of crediting period of any *CPA* shall be limited to the end date of the *PoA* regardless of when the CPA was added.

7 years

#### A.4.4. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the chosen <u>crediting period</u>:

t CO2e (over the first crediting period of 7 years)

#### A.4.5. Public funding of the <u>CPA</u>:

>>

>>

#### (Explain whether includes public funding or not)

# A.4.6. Information to confirm that the proposed small-scale $\underline{CPA}$ is not a <u>de-bundled component</u>

>>

- 3. For the purposes of registration of a Programme of Activities (PoA)<sup>9</sup> a proposed small-scale CPA of a PoA shall be deemed to be a de-bundled component of a large scale activity if there is already an activity<sup>10</sup>, which:
  - (a) Has the same activity implementer as the proposed small scale CPA or has a coordinating or managing entity, which also manages a large scale PoA of the same sectoral scope, and;
  - (b) The boundary is within 1 km of the boundary of the proposed small-scale CPA, at the closest point.
- 4. If a proposed small-scale CPA of a PoA is deemed to be a debundled component in accordance with paragraph 2 above, but the total size of such a CPA combined with a registered small-scale CPA of a PoA or a registered CDM project activity does not exceed the limits for small-scale CDM and small-scale A/R project activities as set out in Annex II of the decision 4/CMP.1 and 5/CMP.1 respectively, the CPA of a PoA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Only those POAs need to be considered in determining de-bundling that are: (i) in the same geographical area; and (ii) use the same methodology; as the POA to which proposed CPA is being added

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Which may be a (i) registered small-scale CPA of a PoA, (ii) an application to register another small-scale CPA of a PoA or (iii) another registered CDM project activity

can qualify to use simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM and small-scale A/R CDM project activities.

Similar projects do not exist in the geographical boundary of city. This is the first such project in \_\_\_\_\_\_ city. The CPA implementer which is <u>(Implementer's name)</u> in this case, has neither been involved in any other PoA of the same sectoral scope, nor have the assumed the role of any CME.

The cooperation agreement signed between \_\_\_\_\_PC, CPA implementer (*Implementer's name*) and VUREIA (CME) confirms that the proposed \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA project is not included in any other CDM program of activities or CDM project activities. Further, the Vietnam MSW composting program is the first PoA in Vietnam under which this CPA is being proposed.

A.4.7. Confirmation that <u>small-scale CPA</u> is neither registered as an individual CDM project activity or is part of another Registered PoA:

>>

The project is not registered as an individual CDM project and is not part of another PoA. The Cooperation agreement and subsequent amendments to the cooperation agreements signed between VUREIA, CPA implementer <u>(Implementer's name)</u>, and PC confirm the above statement. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_PC has signed a declaration that the composting facility which will implemented by <u>(Implementer's name)</u> and will be located in their geographical boundary is neither the part of any other CDM program of activities nor any other CDM activities. These signed agreements will be provided to the DoE.

SECTION B. Eligibility of small-scale CPA and Estimation of emissions reductions

# B.1. Title and reference of the Registered PoA to which small-scale CPA \_ is added: >>

Vietnam MSW composting programme. This CPA is part of the request for registration of the above mentioned PoA.

The CPA implementer is basically responsible and has rights on all business activities within the CPA boundary but necessary to accept following conditions;

- The CPA implementer has responsibility to submit operation and monitoring information to CME based on 4 party agreement
- The CPA implementer also accepts the support and direction in operation management of composting production from IKE, based on cooperation agreement concluded between CPA owner, Local administrative body and IKE.

B.2. Justification of the why the <u>small-scale CPA</u> is eligible to be included in the Registered PoA:

INFCCC

#### >>

The project is eligible to be included as a CPA in the proposed PoA as it complies with all the eligibility criteria listed in the PoA-DD as described below.

	TableB.1.1 Eligibility crit	teria and CPA's compliance
	Eligibility criteria as defined in the PoA	CPA's compliance with the eligibility
		criteria
1	The CPA would be located in the local administrative body under provincial level and/or cities directly under central government of Vietnam. Only one CPA can belong to one local administrative body.	The CPA is located in one of the city (city) in Vietnam, and there are no other CPA existing in the city.
2	The local administrative body should be able to provide a land and infrastructure for the CPA facility.	PC has designated land for waste processing and disposal. The composting facility proposed in the CPA is planned to be located at the same designated land.
3	<ul> <li>The local administrative body, CPA implementer, VUREIA and IKE shall sign a cooperation agreement in order to;</li> <li>Participate to the program including transferring all the emission reduction rights to VUREIA,</li> <li>Have CPA implementer to operate the facility in good manners by evaluation from IKE.</li> </ul>	PC, ( <u>Implementer's name</u> ), VUREIA and IKE singed the cooperation agreement on <u>DD/MM/YY.</u>
4	The local administrative body shall sign a cooperation agreement with CPA implementer on delivering MSW to the composting facility, pay agreed MSW treatment fee, accept residues (in some case, compost product) discharged from the composting facility to landfill site operated by the local administrative body.	PC and ( <u>Implementer's name</u> ) . singed the cooperation agreement on <u>DD/MM/YY</u> .
5	"Investment Report", which is necessary to start investment activities under the Vietnam law is not yet approved by the provincial/ cities directly under central government level nor the local administrative body level on the proposed CPA project.	The project ( <u>has / has not</u> ) provided investment report. ( <u>Erase / if the</u> <u>investment report has been provided. the</u> <u>investment report has not been officially</u> <u>approved vet</u> ).

TableB.1.1Eligibility criteria and CPA's compliance

# B.3. Assessment and demonstration of additionality of the <u>small-scale CPA</u>, as per eligibility criteria listed in the Registered PoA:

>>

Table B.3.1Eligibility criteria and Situation in proposed CPA

		~~ · · ·
	Eligibility criteria as per PoA	Situation in
		city
1	There should not be any existing composting operations of capacity greater than 5tons per day (input amount) of MSW handled per day in the local administrative body geographical boundary where the proposed CPA will be located in.	There is no other composting facility greater than 5 tons per day in city.
2	The common practice for MSW disposal in the geographical boundary of local administrative body should be disposal of MSW at landfill sites.	Landfill is the only treatment method for MSW which is not able to be recycled in city.
3	The financial analysis of composting operations should prove the Programme to be unviable without carbon revenues, if the facility is designed for a different capacity than the standard 50tpd considered in the program.	IRR during the first crediting period (7years) will be% with CER sales, comparing to% without CER. Benchmark (Base interest rate, State Bank of Vietnam) is%*at the latest.

\*...Source: State Bank of Vietnam, http://www.sbv.gov.vn/en/

<Barrier analysis of CPA>

If the CPA passes the above barrier analysis, CPA is proved to be additional.

#### $CDM-Executive \ Board$

>>



B.4. Description of the sources and gases included in the <u>project boundary</u> and proof that the <u>small-scale CPA</u> is located within the geographical boundary of the registered PoA.

Table B.4.1Gases and sources relevant to the project

Tuble D. I.	Table D. 1.1 Gabes and Sources relevant to the project									
	Source	Gas		Justification/Explanation						
Baseline	Emissions	CH4	Included	The major source of emissions in the						

r	c			1 1.
	from	Mag		baseline
	decomposition	N2O	Excluded	N2O emissions are small compared to CH4
	of waste at the			emissions from landfills. Exclusion of the
	landfillsite			gas is conservative.
		CO2	Excluded	CO2 emissions from decomposition of
				organic waste are not accounted
	Emissions	CO2	Excluded	Electricity is not consumed or generated in
	from	N2O		the baseline scenario
	electricity	CH4		
	consumption			
	Emissions	CO2	Excluded	Thermal energy is not consumed or
	from thermal	N2O		generated in the baseline scenario
	energy	CH4		
	generation			
Project	Fossil fuel	CO2	Included	May be an important emission source
activity	consumption	CH4	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. The emission
	due to the CPA			source is assumed to be very small
	activity	N2O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. The emission
				source is assumed to be very small
	Emissions	CO2	Included	May be an important emission source
	from on-site	CH4	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. The emission
	electricity use			source is assumed to be very small
		N2O	Excluded	Excluded for simplification. The emission
				source is assumed to be very small
	Direct	CO2	Excluded	CO2 emissions from decomposition of
	emissions from			organic waste are not accounted
	the waste	CH4	Included	Included for composting, run off and
	treatment			residual disposal processes.
	process	N2O	Excluded	Excluded as the activity is a small scale

### B.5. Emission reductions:

# B.5.1. Data and parameters that are available at validation:

>>

Parameters related to Project emission

Data / Parameter:	EF CO2
Data unit:	kg CO2/km
Description:	Emission factor for diesel vehicles
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
	Aquarium science and technology journal no.01/2008 of Nha Trang
	University
	IEA Energy statistics, 2004
Value applied:	0.455 (value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	Default CO2 emission factor for diesel used in road transportation is
choice of data or	74,100 kg CO2/TJ.
description of	Calorific value of diesel used in Vietnam is 10,478kcal/kg (or 43.8Mj/kg)
measurement	and weighted average density of diesel oil is 839.7g/Litre, which means

(Copy this table for each data and parameter)

methods	and	36.78Mj/Litre.
procedures	actually	The above data results in emission coefficient of 2.73 kgCO2/litre for
applied :		diesel considering an average efficiency of transport vehicle as 6 km/litre,
		the emission factor will be 0.455kgCO2/km.
Any commer	nt:	

Data / Parameter:	EF fuel
Data unit:	kg CO2 / litre
Description:	Emission factor for diesel used in on-site vehicles
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
	Aquarium science and technology journal no.01/2008 of Nha Trang
	University
	IEA Energy statistics, 2004
Value applied:	2.73 (value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	Default CO2 emission factor for diesel used in road transportation is
choice of data or	74,100 kg CO2/TJ.
description of	Calorific value of diesel used in Vietnam is 10,478kcal/kg (or 43.8Mj/kg)
measurement	and weighted average density of diesel oil is 839.7g/Litre, which means
methods and	36.78Mj/Litre.
procedures actually	The above data results in emission coefficient of 2.73 kgCO2/litre for
applied :	diesel oil.
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	EFgrid,CM,y
Data unit:	tCO2e/MWh
Description:	Carbon emission factor of electricity in Vietnam
Source of data used:	Official sources
Value applied:	<b>0.58015</b> (See Annex 3) (value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new
	<u>fiscal vear</u> )
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	EF m, ipcc2006
Data unit:	kg CO2/TJ
Description:	Emission factor of diesel fuel
	Emission factor for heavy oil
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
Value applied:	Diesel: 74,100 kgCO2/TJ
	Heavy Oil: 77,400kg CO2/TJ
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	

procedures actually applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	EF composting
Data unit:	Kg CH4/ ton waste
Description:	Methane emission per ton wet waste composted
Source of data used:	table 4.1, chapter 4, Volume 5,2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas
	Inventories
Value applied:	4kg/ton wet waste
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	B o, ww
Data unit:	Kg methane / kg COD
Description:	Methane producing capacity of waste water
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
Value applied:	0.25
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	MCF ww, treatment
Data unit:	Factor
Description:	Methane correction factor for waste water treatment plant
Source of data used:	As per table III.H.1 of AMS III.H
Value applied:	( depend on baseline situation)
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	The composting process is proposed under a roof. No rain run-off is
choice of data or	expected. The process management would ensure that no leachate from
description of	excess watering is generated. Leachate generated due to moist the waste
measurement	input would be sprayed back onto the older waste windrows. In this
methods and	context no treatment plant is proposed. In case leachate does get
procedures actually	produced and which cannot be sprayed back an aerobic treatment system
applied :	based on reed bed or similar botanical treatment system would be
	undertaken without use of power. The number for "Anaerobic shallow
	lagoon (depth less than 2 meters)"is adopted.
Any comment:	

Monitoring frequency Anually check if any run off exists.

Data / Parameter:	UFb
Data unit:	Factor
Description:	Model correction factor to account for uncertainties
Source of data used:	AMS III.F Version 9
Value applied:	1.12
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

#### Parameters related to baseline emissions

Data / Parameter:	$\phi$
Data unit:	Factor
Description:	The model correction factor to correct for the model uncertainties
Source of data used:	Tool to determine emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site (version 05)
Value applied:	0.9
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	OX
Data unit:	Factor
Description:	Oxidation factor
Source of data used:	Tool to determine emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid
	waste disposal site (version 05)
Value applied:	( depend on baseline landfill situation)
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	OX is determined by the following two ways:
choice of data or	1) Conduct a site visit at the MSW disposal site in order to assess the
description of	type of covering method and materials. Use IPCC 2006 guidelines for
measurement	national greenhouse gas inventories for the choice of value to be applied.
methods and	2) Use 0.1 for managed MSW disposal site that are covered with
procedures actually	oxidizing material such as soil or compost, Use 0 for other materials.
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	F
Data unit:	Fraction
Description:	Fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (volume fraction)
Source of data used:	Tool to determine emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid
	waste disposal site (version 05)
Value applied:	0.5
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	DOC f
Data unit:	Factor
Description:	The fraction of DOC that can decompose
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and
	"Tool to determine emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid
	waste disposal site (version 05)"
Value applied:	0.5
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	MCF
Data unit:	Factor
Description:	Methane correction factor
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
Value applied:	( depend on baseline landfill situation)
	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
Justification of the	Use the following values for MCF:
choice of data or	- 1.0: for anaerobic managed solid waste disposal sites. These must
description of	have controlled placement of waste (waste directed to specific
measurement	decomposition areas, a degree of control of scavenging and a degree of
methods and	control of fires) and will include at least one of the following: (i) cover
procedures actually	material; (ii) mechanical compacting; (iii) levelling of the waste.
applied :	- 0.8: for unmanaged MSW disposal sites- deep and/or with high water
	table, this comprises all SWDS not meeting the criteria of managed
	SWDS and which have depths of greater than or equal to 5 meters
	and/or high water table at near ground level. Latter situation
	corresponds to filling inland water, such as pond, river or wetland by
	waste.

	<ul> <li>0.5: for semi aerobic managed MSW disposal sites. These must have controlled placement of waste and will include all of the following structures for introducing air to waste layer: (i) permeable cover material; (ii) leachate drainage system; (iii) regulating pndage; (iv) gas ventilation system.</li> <li>0.4: for unmanaged shallow MSW disposal sites. This comprises all SWDS not meeting the criteria of managed SWDS and which have depths of less than 5meters.</li> </ul>
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	DOCj		
Data unit:	%		
Description:	Percent of degradeable organic carbo	n (by weight) in the waste type j	
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National C	Greenhouse Gas Inventories (volume	
	5 table 2.4 and 2.5)		
Value applied:	(value will be updated by CME by the beginst the begin		
	Waste type	DOC (%)	
	Wood and wood products	43	
	Pulp, paper and cardboard	40	
	(other than sludge)		
	Food, food waste, beverages and 15		
	tobacco (other than sludge)		
	Textiles	24	
	Garden, yard and park waste	20	
	Glass, plastic, metal other inert	0	
	waste		
Justification of the			
choice of data or			
description of			
measurement			
methods and			
procedures actually			
applied :			
Any comment:			

Data / Parameter:	kj			
Data unit:	Factor			
Description:	Decay rate of the waste	stream type j		
Source of data used:	2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (volume 5 table 3.3)			
Value applied:	(value will be updated by C	ME by the beginning of new	<u>fiscal year)</u>	
	Waste	e type	kj (%)	
	MAT>20Celsius			
	MAP>1000mm			
	Slowly degrading	Pulp, paper and	0.07	
		cardboard (other		
		than sludge), textiles		
		Wood and wood	0.035	
		products		
	Moderately degrading	Other (non-food)	0.17	
		organic		

	Rapidly degrading	Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco (other than sludge)	0.4
Justification of the	MAT for	city	isC
choice of data or	$($ $_{VV}$ $)$		
description of	MAP for	city is	mm
measurement	<u>(</u> )		
methods and			
procedures actually			
applied :			
Any comment:			

Data / Parameter:	Solid waste composition (percentage of waste type <i>j</i> )
Data unit:	
Description:	
Source of data used:	IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
	(adapted from Volume 5, Table 2.3) regional default values for
	South-Eastern Asia
Value applied:	(value will be updated by CME by the beginning of new fiscal year)
	Food: 43.5%
	Paper, cardboard: 12.9%
	Wood: 9.9%
	Textile: 2.7%
	Inorganic: 31.0%
Justification of the	
choice of data or	
description of	
measurement	
methods and	
procedures actually	
applied :	
Any comment:	

#### B.5.2. Ex-ante calculation of emission reductions:

>>

The emission reductions are calculated according to methodology AMS-III.F version 9 and "Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from dumping waste at a solid waste disposal site version 5", which are referred to the PoA-DD. The ex-ante calculation of emission reductions are completed with the following steps:

#### Project Emissions (PEy)

The project emissions in year y for each CPA will be calculated as below:

= PE y, transp + PE y, power + PE y.comp + PE y, runoff + PE y, reswaste PEy (1)

Emission due to incremental transport 1.

Emission due to incremental transportation is calculated using the formula below:

CDM-Executive Board

PE y, transp = (Q y /CT y) \* DAF w \* EF co2 + (Q y,treatment / CT y,ttreatment) \* DAF treatment \*EF co2

(2)

Where:

Qу	Quantity of raw waste treated in the year y (tonnes)
CT y	Average truck capacity for waste transportation (tonnes/truck)
DAF w	Average incremental distance for raw solid waste (km/truck)
$\mathrm{EF}\mathrm{co2}$	CO2 emission factor from fossil fuel use due to transportation (kgCO2/km)
Q y,treatment	Quantity of compost product produced in the year y (tonnes)
CT y,treatment	Average truck capacity for compost product transportation (tonnes/truck)
DAF treatment	Average distance for compost product transportation (km/truck)

IPCC default values will be used for the net calorific value and CO2 emission factor for diesel fuel.

CPA facility will be constructed adjacent to the present landfill site, so the incremental transportation of raw waste is not considered for this CPA project (DAFw=0).

The estimated fuel consumption for transportation of compost is estimated here. The compost production is estimated 20 % of the input waste. All the compost will either be sold or distributed for demonstration. About \_\_\_\_\_\_ tons of compost is transported out every year. 100% of the compost marketed would be within an average travel distance (both ways) of 200 km. The compost transported per truck is conservatively assumed at 6 tonnes.

Table D.9.2.1 Emission due	
Parameters	Value
Qy	Tons per year
СТ у	6
DAF w	0
EF co2	0.455
Q y,treatment	Tons per year
CT y,treatment	6
DAF treatment	200
PE y, transp	Tons CO2 per year

Table B.5.2.1Emission due to incremental transportation

2. Emission due to electricity or fossil fuel consumption on site

The composting process involves electricity consumption for lighting and water pumping, and blowers. Emissions associated with consumption of electricity and fossil fuel is calculated using the following formulae:

PE y, power = PE electricity, y + PE fuel, onsite, y
(3)

PE electricity, y = MWh e, y \* EF co2, grid, y(4)

Where:

MWh e,y	is the amount of electricity consumed from the grid in the project
activity,	

 $CDM-Executive \ Board$ 

EF co2, grid,y

grid (tCO2/MWh).

measured using an electricity meter (MWh)

is the emission factor for electricity generation of the national

 $\rm EF$  co2, grid,y shall be calculated annually using either of following method in

AMS-I.D ver16.

(a) A combined margin (CM), consisting of the combination of operating margin (OM) and build margin (BM) according to the procedures prescribed in the "Tool to calculate the Emission Factor for an electricity system"

OR

(b) The weighted average emissions (in t CO<sub>2</sub>/MWh) of the current generation mix. The data of the year in which project generation occurs must be used. Calculations shall be based on data from an official source (where available) and made publicly available.

The project selected (a) to calculate the emission factor or electricity generation of the national grid, therefore  $\mathbf{EF}_{grid,CM,y}$  take place for EF co2, grid,y. The calculation procedure is noted on Annex 3. As a result,

#### EFgrid,CM,y = 0.58015 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh

The feasibility study for the composting facility indicates that the total electric power consumption by the facility is for waste/composting sorting equipments, blowers, lighting and air condition operations. The power requirement would be a maximum of kW on average of \_\_\_\_\_\_ hrs operation of the facility per day, \_\_\_\_\_ days per year. This is equivalent to and electricity consumption of \_\_\_\_\_\_MWh per annum.

The following data is therefore used to calculate the emission associated with consumption of electricity in the composting facility.

Table B.5.2.2	Emission due to	electricity con	sumption on site
10010 0.0.2.2	Linibolon auc to	ciccuricity com	

Parameter	Value
MWh e,y	MWh
EFgrid,CM,y	0.58015
PE electricity, y	Tons CO2 per year

PE fuel, onsite, y = F cons, y \* EF fuel (5)

The estimated fuel consumption for from the loaders for the composting operation is estimated at \_\_\_\_\_ litres per hour and \_\_\_\_\_\_ vehicles will daily operate hours. So the diesel fuel consumed per year will be \_\_\_\_\_\_ litres.

With and emission factor of 2.73 kgCO2/litre, the emissions from fuel is estimated to be t CO2/year.

Table B.5.2.3	Emission of	due to f	fuel consu	Imption on site
---------------	-------------	----------	------------	-----------------

Parameter	Value
F cons, y	Litters per year
EF fuel	2.73
PE fuel, onsite, y	Tons CO2 per year

#### 3. Emissions from composting process

Emissions from composting process is calculated using the following formula:

PE y, comp = Qy \* EF composting \* GWP\_CH4 (6)

Where:

EF composting	is the methane emission factor of composting waste taken at 4 kg
methane / ton	
	wet waste

The following date is used to calculate the emissions.

Table B.5.2.4	Emission from composting process	

Parameter	Value	
Qy	Tons per year	
EF composting	4	
GWP_CH4	21	
PE y, comp	Tons CO2 per y	year

(Erase / However. EF<sub>composing</sub> can be set to zero for the portions of Oy for which the monitored oxygen content of the composting process in all points within the windrow are above 8%, so the project will consider EF<sub>composting</sub> as zero).

#### 4. Emission from run-off water

Methane emission from run-off water is calculated using the following formula:

PE y, runoff = Q y, ww, runoff \* COD y, ww, runoff \* B o, ww \* MCF ww, trteatment \* UF b \*

GWP\_CH4 (7)

Where:

Q y, ww, runoff	is volume of runoff water in year y (m3)
COD y, ww, runoff	is chemical oxygen demand of runoff water leaving the
composting	
	facility in year y (tonnes/y)
B o, ww	is methane producing capacity of waste water taken at IPCC
default	
	value of 0.25kg/kgCOD
MCF ww, trteatment	is methane correction factor for waste water treatment
plant as per table	
	III H.1 in the methodology AMS III.H/version16
UF b	is model correction factor to account for uncertainties
default of 1.12	

The following date is used to calculate the emissions.

	Table B.5.2.5	Emis	sion from runoff	water
Parameters			Values	
Q y, ww, runoff				m3 per year
COD y, ww, runoff				_Tons COD per year

Enderstein frank und efferenten

Q y, ww, runoff	m3 per year
COD y, ww, runoff	Tons COD per year
B o, ww	0.25
MCF ww, trteatment	( depend on baseline situation)
UF b	1.12
GWP_CH4	21
PE y, runoff	Tons CO2 per year

5. Emission from anaerobic storage/disposal or residual waste

T-LL DFOF

The emission from landfill of residuals from composting process PE y, rewaste are calculated using the following formula:

BE CH4, swds, y =

$$\Psi \cdot (1-f) \cdot \mathsf{GWP}_{\mathsf{CH4}} \cdot (1-\mathsf{OX}) \cdot 16/12 \cdot \mathsf{F} \cdot \mathsf{DOC}_{f} \cdot \mathsf{MCF} \cdot \sum_{x=l}^{y} \sum_{j} W_{j,x} \cdot \mathsf{DOC}_{j} \cdot e^{-kj \cdot (y-x)} \cdot (1-e^{-kj})$$
(8)

The quantity of waste and the composition of waste in the above formula correspond to the residual waste. "Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site" version 5 is used.

Compost and inert material are the two types of residual wastes expected to be generated in the project activity. Only the inert material will be disposed of in the landfill site once in 3 days which would not lead to any methane emissions unlike disposal of sludge and compost in the landfill. Compost produced in the facility is not intended to be disposed of in the landfill. If necessary, compost may be sold at a low or no price in initial years when the market is still being developed. Therefore emission associated with anaerobic storage/disposal of residual waste is mostly not applicable. However provisions have been made to analyse and monitor the type of residual waste that would be disposed of at the landfill and calculate the emission if relevant.

The following date is used to calculate the emissions.

Table B.5.2.6Ex-ante waste composition

Waste type	Composition by weight (%)
Wood and wood products	0
Pulp, paper and cardboard (other than sludge)	%
Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco (other than sludge)	<u> </u>
Textiles	0
Garden, yard and park waste	%
Glass, plastic, metal other inert waste	0

 Table B.5.2.7
 Parameters values used to calculate ex-ante baseline emissions

Parameter	Value
$\psi$	0.9
f	0

GWP_CH4	21
OX	( depend on baseline landfill situation)
F	0.5
DOC f	0.5
MCF	( depend on baseline landfill situation)
DOC j: wood and wood products	43%
DOC j: Pulp, paper and cardboard (other	40%
than sludge)	
DOC j: Food, food waste, beverages and	15%
tobacco (other than sludge)	
DOC j: Textiles	24%
DOC j: Garden, yard and park waste	20%
DOC j: Glass, plastic, metal other inert	0%
waste	
k- Pulp, paper and cardboard (other than	0.07
sludge), textiles	
k- wood and wood products	0.035
k- other (non-food) organic	0.17
k- Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco	0.4
(other than sludge)	

#### Table 5.2.8Ex-ante estimates of emission from landfill of residual organic wastes

Year	emissions (tCO2e/year)

#### 6. Summary of ex-ante Project emissions

Table B	.5.2.9 Summary of ex-ante project emissions in the first crediting period									
Year	PE	у,	PE	у,	PE y, comp	PE	у,	PE	у,	Total
	transp		power			runoff		rewaste		

#### **Baseline emissions**

The baseline emission for the composting activity is calculated using the following formula:

BE y = BE CH4, swds, y – (MD y, reg \* GWP\_CH4) + (MEP y, ww \* GWP\_CH4) (8)

Where:

BE y BE CH4, swds	is the baseline emission in year y (tCO2e) , y is yearly methane generation potential of the solid waste composted by the project during the years "x" from the beginning of the project activity (x=1) up to the year "y" estimated as described in "Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site" (version 5).
MD y, reg comply with	is methane emissions that would be captured and destroyed to
	national or local safety requirement or legal regulations in the year "y" (tCO2e).
	In Vietnam there is no requirement or regulation to capture and destroy methane
MEP y, ww co-composted.	and this value is zero and not considered further. is methane emission potential in the year y of the wastewater The
-	value of this term is zero as co-composting of waste water is not included in the absence of the project activity (tonne)

Hence:

BEy = BE CH4, swds, y (9)

Where:

BE CH4, swds, y =

$$\Psi \cdot (1-f) \cdot \mathsf{GWP}_{CH4} \cdot (1-OX) \cdot 16/12 \cdot F \cdot \mathsf{DOC}_{f} \cdot \mathsf{MCF} \cdot \sum_{x=1}^{y} \sum_{j} W_{j,x} \cdot \mathsf{DOC}_{j} \cdot e^{-kj \cdot (y-x)} \cdot (1-e^{-kj})$$

Where:

$\psi$	is model correction factor (default 0.9) to correct the model uncertainties
f	is fraction of methane captured at the SWDS and flared combusted or used
in another	
	manner
OX	is oxidation factor (reflecting the amount of methane from SWDS that is
oxidised in the	
	soil or other material covering the waste)
F	is fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (volume fraction)
DOCj	is fraction of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in the waste type j
MCF	is methane correction factor (fraction)
W j, x	is amount of organic waste type j prevented from disposal in the SWDS in
the year x	
	(tonnes/ year)
DOC f	is fraction of degradable organic carbon that can decompose

kj	is decay rate for the waste stream type j	
j	waste type category	
Х	is year during the crediting period: x runs for the first year of the first	
crediting period		
	(x=1) to the year y for which avoided emissions are calculated (x=y)	
y is year for which methane emissions are calculated		

Where different waste types j are prevented from disposal, determine the amount of different waste types (W j, x) through sampling and calculate the mean from samples, as follows:

W j, x = W x 
$$\cdot \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{z} P_{n, j, x}}{z}$$
(11)

Where:

W j, x	is amount of organic waste type $\boldsymbol{j}$ prevented from disposal in the year $\boldsymbol{x}$
(tonnes) W x	is total amount of organic waste prevented from disposal in the year x
(tonnes/year)	
P n,j,x year x	is weight fraction of the waste type j in the sample n collected during the
Z	is number of samples taken during the year x

The percentage of organic waste type j prevented from disposal are shown in Table B.5.2.10, the other parameter values used are shown in table B.5.2.11. The calculated results are shown in table B.5.2.12. The actual quantity of organic waste will be monitored according to the monitoring methodology for ex-post CER calculations.

Waste type	Composition by weight (%)
Wood and wood products	<u>%</u>
Pulp, paper and cardboard (other than sludge)	<u> </u>
Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco (other than	<u> </u>
sludge)	
Textiles	%
Garden, yard and park waste	<u>    %</u>
Glass, plastic, metal other inert waste	0

B.5.2.10 Ex-ante waste composition

B.5.2.11 Parameters values used to	calculate ex-ante baseline emissions
	77.1

Parameter	Value
$\phi$	0.9
f	0
GWP_CH4	21
OX	( depend on baseline landfill situation)

	-
F	0.5
DOC f	0.5
MCF	( depend on baseline landfill situation)
DOC j: wood and wood products	43%
DOC j: Pulp, paper and cardboard (other	40%
than sludge)	
DOC j: Food, food waste, beverages and	15%
tobacco (other than sludge)	
DOC j: Textiles	24%
DOC j: Garden, yard and park waste	20%
DOC j: Glass, plastic, metal other inert	0%
waste	
k- Pulp, paper and cardboard (other than	0.07
sludge), textiles	
k- wood and wood products	0.035
k- other (non-food) organic	0.17
k- Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco	0.4
(other than sludge)	

# B.5.2.12 Ex-ante estimates of emission from landfill of residual organic wastes

Year	Baseline emissions (tCO2e/year)
First 7 crediting years	

#### <u>Leakage:</u>

There is no leakage.

B.5.3. Summary of the ex-ante estimation of emission reductions:					
>>	>>				
Tab	le B.5.3 S	ummary of the ex	-ante estimation	of emission redu	ctions
Year	Estimation of project activity emissions (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Estimation of baseline emissions (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Estimation of leakage (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> e)	Estimation of overall emission reductions (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> e)	CER income (US\$)
T-4-1					
Total					

(tonnes of			
$CO_2 e)$			

#### B.6. Application of the monitoring methodology and description of the monitoring plan:

#### B.6.1. Description of the monitoring plan:

>>

The monitoring plan as described in section E.7 of the Vietnam MSW composting PoA-DD, under which this CPA is being proposed will be followed.

#### Description of the Monitoring Plan and System for the SSC-CPA:

Parameters for MSW composting activity is monitored using Field Instruments, Hardware & Software installed at Project site and/or Manual data recording in the log book. Monitoring items consist with information from periodical activity and daily activity. Electronic information such as truck scale measurement can directly be collected as data in \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA Database, and also there is information collected by manual basis.





Hard copies from manually collected information will be filed and kept by management of each responsible unit in the \_\_\_\_\_ City CPA, and periodically (at least once a week) will be converted to data and stored also into \_\_\_\_\_ City

UNFCCC

CPA database. All monitoring data and information, including original photographs, will be kept at least for two years after the end of the last crediting period or two years after the last issuance of CERs, whichever occurs later.

Electronic data and information of \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA will be finally kept in the database system developed and managed by CME. CME will be able to access city CPA database and collect necessary data for monitoring and preparation for verification. An independent server has been bought by CME for the database system for weekly backup of data and information.

Refer Annex 4 which is the list for monitoring activities.

Table B,6.1.1: Hard copy file and responsible unit			
Class A document and manager	Class B document	Class B document manager	
Production file	MSW/ residue composition	Line manager	
(Production Unit Manager)	report		
	Baseline information report	Line manager	
	Line manager daily report	Line manager	
	Product list	Production Unit Manager	
	Product register form	Line manager	
	Storage manager daily report	Storage manager	
Facility management file	Copy of fuel/electricity	Utility Division Chief	
(Facility Management Unit Manager)	consumption notice (copy)	Administrative division chief	
	Maintenance/repair report	Maintenance Division chief	
Deliver management file	Storage manager daily report	Storage manager	
(Delivery Management Unit	(copy)		
Manager)	Delivery truck report	Truck scale operator	
	Driver daily report	Truck driver	
	Truck fuel receipt (copy)	Truck driver	
		Administrative Division Chief	
MSW file	MSW/ residue composition	Line manager	
(Production Unit Manager)	report	_	
	MSW information sheet	Truck scale operator	
Sales information file	Contracts	Sales Unit	
(Sales Unit Manager,	Order forms	Sales Unit.	
Deliver management Unit	Sales Notes	Sales Unit(copy)	
manger)	Internal ordering slips	Deliver management Unit	
	Delivery slips	Sales Unit (copy)	
	Receipt	Sales Unit(copy)	
Purchase information file	Contracts	Administrative Unit	
(Administrative Unit	Internal ordering slips	Administrative Unit(copy)	
Manager)	Order forms	Administrative Unit (copy)	
	Sales Notes	Administrative Unit (copy)	
	Delivery slips	Administrative Unit(copy)	
	Consumption notice	Administrative Unit	
	Receipt	Administrative Unit	

Table B,6.1.1: Hard copy file and responsible unit

<Activities>

The work flow of each activity, monitoring parameters which van be collected from the work, how it is measured and recording methods are shown below.

#### (C) Periodical activity

c) Waste composition analysis

(iii) Inflow MSW composition

This activity will be operated once in 3 months at the open yard within the CPA facility.

Work flow		Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording
				method
	A1	Collect one hand cart	No. of collection	- Indicate collection points
		of MSW from each	points	in map.

	collection point by		
	truck.		
A2	Dump the collected MSW in open yard, spread MSW flat	-	-
A3	Divide the MSW in 6 areas, again dived 6 areas in 4 pieces.	-	- Visual confirmation
A4	Collect 50kg of MSW from random selected one pieces of each 6 area and gather it as 300kg samples.	-weight of total MSW sample	- Manual weigh
A5	300kg sample will be spread in another open yard, and will be separated in 8 types manually	- Number of type of waste	- Visual confirmation
A6	Weigh each item by manual weigh	- Weight of item I of MSW sample	- Manual weigh
A7	Provide report	-	<ul> <li>Summarize activity and result to MSW/ residue composition report</li> <li>Obtain approval from Production unit manager and CPA general director</li> <li>File the report to MSW file</li> </ul>

### (iv) Outflow MSW composition

This activity will be operated once in 3 months at the open yard within the CPA facility.

CIAracinty.				
	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording	
			method	
A8	Spread residue flat at	-	-	
	the residue pit			
A9	Divide residue in 6	-	- Visual confirmation	
	areas, again dived 6			
	areas in 4 pieces.			
A10	Collect 50kg of	-weight of total	- Manual weigh	
	residue from random	MSW sample	- MSW/ residue	
	selected one pieces of		composition report	
	each 6 area and			
	gather it as 300kg			
	samples.			
A11	300kg sample will be	- Number of type	- Visual confirmation of	
	spread in another	of waste	type of waste.	
	open yard, and will be		- MSW/ residue	
	separated in 8 types		composition report	
	manually			

A12	Weigh each item by manual weigh	-	Weight of item I of MSW sample	-	Manual weigh MSW/ residue composition report
A13	Provide report	-		-	Summarize activity and result to MSW/ residue composition report Obtain approval from Production unit manager and CPA general director File the report to MSW file

d) Baseline information collection

Baseline information such as legal document, CO2 emission factor of fuel and electricity grid, IPCC defaults and others will be annually confirmed by CME and will be informed to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA Production Management Unit via city CPA General Director. Hard copies will be kept attached to the "Baseline information report" and filed in "Production file".

Refer Annex 4 which is the list for monitoring activities.

- (D) Daily activity
- j) MSW Accepting activity

MSW will be delivered to the \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA facility by the transportation truck owned by local administrative body.

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B1	Weighing the entering truck at the truck scale		<ul> <li>Truck scale measurement</li> <li>Direct digital entry to database</li> </ul>
B2	Unload MSW to waste pit	-	- Immediate manual entry to "MSW information
B3	Weighing the exiting truck at the truck scale		sheet" - Daily filing of "MSW information sheet" to "MSW file"

k) Composting activity

Composting activity has 4 sub-activities as follows:

(v) Pre-treatment activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B4	Take out large size wastes, weighing each categorized wastes		<ul> <li>Visual segregation and manual weighing of organic-categorized wastes.</li> <li>Immediate manual record to line manager daily report</li> <li>Daily manual entry to "Production file"</li> <li>Periodically digital</li> </ul>

			recording to database
D.*		<b>T</b> 1	recording to database
B5	MSW will be	Electricity	-Visual confirmation by
	transported by	consumption	electricity meter
	conveyers to the		- Daily manual entry to
	sorting line		"Facility Management file"
B6	Sorted by trammel		- Periodically digital
	(80mm)		recording to database
B7	Bag opening and		
	sorting by hand		- Cross check by "invoice"
B8	Magnetic separation		or "consumption notice"
B9	Sorted by trammel		from the power company
	(60mm)		- File the copy of the
			invoice to "Facility
			Management file"
			- Periodically digital
			recording to database
B10a	Transport	Fuel consumption	-Visual confirmation by
	composting materials		"invoice" or "consumption
	to primary		notice" from the
	fermentation area		contracting fuel company
B10b	Transport other		- File the copy of the
	product materials to		invoice to "Facility
	production line		Management file"
B10c	Transport residues to		- Periodically digital
	residue storage area		recording to database

(vi) Primary Fermentation activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B11	Pile up the composting material by Wheel loaders	- Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B12a	Ventilation of air by blower system	- Electricity consumption	Same as work flow 5-9
		- Fermentation Temperature	<ul> <li>-Visual confirmation by thermometer, minimum 6times/day</li> <li>-Immediate manual record to line manager daily report</li> <li>-Daily manual entry to "Production file"</li> <li>-Periodically digital recording to database</li> </ul>
		- Oxygen (more than 8%)	-Visual confirmation by O2 meter, minimum 6times/day -Immediate manual record to line manager daily report - Daily manual entry to

				"Production file" -Periodically digital recording to database
B12b	Mix the composting material periodically by Wheel loaders	-	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B13	Transport composting materials to secondary fermentation area	-	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10

#### (vii) Secondary Fermentation activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B14	Pile up the composting material by Wheel loaders	- Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B15a	Secondary fermentation	<ul> <li>Fermentation Temperature</li> <li>Oxygen (more than 8%)</li> </ul>	Same as work flow 12a Same as work flow 12a
B15b	Mix the composting material periodically by Wheel loaders	- Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B16	Transport composting materials to tentative storage area	- Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10

#### (viii) Tentative storage activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B17	Pile up the composting material by Wheel loaders	- Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B18	Keep suitable oxygen condition	- Oxygen (more than 8%)	Same as work flow 12a
B19	Transport composting materials to production area	- Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10

#### l) Production activity

Production activity has 2 sub-activities as follows:

(iii) General production management activity

		U U	
	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording
			method
B2	0 Provide initial product	Type of products	-Record to "Product list"
	list		which is kept in
			"Production file"
B2	1 Confirm specification	-	-Visual confirmation of
	of ordered product		"order document"
B2	2 Provide new product	-	-

B23	Approve new productin the list by:-Fulfilproductregister form-ObtainapprovalofDirector	Type of products	<ul> <li>Add new product into "Product list"</li> <li>Hardcopy of "product register form" will be kept in "Production file".</li> </ul>
	Director		

# (iv) Compost product producing activity

Compost product producing activity consist with 3 stages

(4) Fin	al sorting		
	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B24	Transport composting materials to trammel	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B25	Sorted by trammel	Electricity	Same as work flow 5-9
	(6mm and 25mm)	consumption	
B26a	Case1: Transport to	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
	Storage		
	(to work flow B33)		
B26b	Case2:Transport to		
	Production/mixing		
	activity		
	(to work flow B27)		

### (5) Production/mixing (Occasionally, depending on demand)

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method
B27	Weigh necessary compost	Weight of compost	-Manual weigh -Immediate manual entry
B28	Weigh necessary additives	Weigh of additives	to line manager daily report - Daily manual entry to "Production file" -Periodically digital recording to database
B29	Mix compost and additives using manual mixer	-	-

(6) Packaging (directly from final sorting or from production/mixing procedure)

	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording
			method
B30	Weigh necessary	Weight of compost	Same as work flow 27
	compost		
B31	Manually packing	- Package size	-Visual confirmation
	and sealing of		-Immediate manual entry
	compost product	package used	to line manager daily
			report
			-Daily manual entry to
			"Production file"
			-Periodically digital

			recording to database
B32	Transport to storage	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10

#### m) Storage activity

Storage activity has 2 sub-activities as follows:

(iii) Storage management activity

ouge					
	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording method		
B33	Identify compost product by type	<ul><li>Type of product</li><li>Lot number</li></ul>	-Visual confirmation -Immediate manual entry		
B34	Store compost product at location designated by product type	<ul> <li>Date of production</li> <li>Packed/Un-packed</li> <li>No. of packages</li> </ul>	to storage manager daily report - Daily manual entry to "Production file", and		
B35	Bookkeeping of stored product		copy to "Deliver management file" - Periodically digital recording to database		

# (iv) Product loading activity

Product loading has following 2 cases (3) Case1: Un-packed product loading

(0) $(0)$	sel. Un-packed product	0	
	Work flow	Parameters	Measuring and Recording
			method
B36	Find product at	-	-
	storage based on		
	storage report		
B37	Measurement of	Weight of delivery	-Truck scale measurement
	empty truck weight	truck	(no recording)
B38a	Load major amount of	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
	compost product to	-	
	delivery truck by		
	wheel loader		
B38b	Measurement of	Weight of truck	-Truck scale measurement
	loaded truck weight		(no recording)
B38c	Manually adjust the	-	-
	product amount		
B39	Finalize delivery	Weight of truck	-Truck scale measurement
	amount by	including compost	-Direct digital entry to
	measurement of	products	database
	delivery truck weight		-Immediate manual entry
			to "Deliver management
			file"
B40	Issue "Product	-	
	measurement report"		
	for customer		
B41	Bookkeeping of	- Type of product	-Visual confirmation
	loaded product	- Lot number	-Immediate manual entry
	-	- Date of	to storage manager daily
		production	report
		- Date of delivery	- Daily manual entry to

		-	Delivery amount Customer	"Production file", cross check with " management file" -Periodically recording to databa	Deliver digital
(to wo	rk flow B45)				

# (4) Packed product loading

	Work flow	Parameters	Recording method
B42	Find product at storage based on	-	-
	storage report		
B43	Load necessary amount of product to the delivery truck	Fuel consumption	Same as work flow 10
B44	Bookkeeping of loaded product	<ul> <li>Type of product</li> <li>Lot number</li> <li>Date of production</li> <li>Date of delivery</li> <li>Delivery amount</li> <li>Customer</li> </ul>	Same as work flow 40
(to w	ork flow B45)		

# n) Delivery activity

Delivery activity has 3 sub-activities as follows:

(iv) Delivery management activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Becording mothed
B45	Work now Issue "Delivery slip" and hand to truck driver. If unpacked products, "Product measurement report" will also handed to driver.	<ul> <li>Type of product</li> <li>Lot number</li> <li>Date of delivery</li> <li>Delivery <ul> <li>amount</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Recording method
B46	Measurement of loaded delivery truck weight at facility exit	Weight of truck including compost products	<ul> <li>Truck scale measurement</li> <li>Direct digital entry to database</li> <li>Immediate manual entry to "Delivery truck report"</li> <li>Daily manual entry to "Deliver management file"</li> </ul>
B47	Unload product at customer's site	-	
B48	Obtain stamp/signature of customer to "Delivery slip"		
B49	Measurement of empty delivery truck	Weight of truck	-Truck scale measurement -Direct digital entry to

weight at facility exit	database -Immediate manual entry to "Delivery truck report" - Daily manual entry to
	"Deliver management file"

(v) Distance management activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Recording method
B50	Confirm trip meter of	-Accumulated	- Trip meter on truck
	delivery truck before	distance	-Visual confirmation
	loading products	-Trip distance	-Immediate manual entry
			to "Driver daily report"
			- Daily manual entry to
			"Deliver management file"
			-Periodically digital
			recording to database
B51	Confirm trip meter of	-Accumulated	Trip meter on truck
	delivery truck after	distance	-Visual confirmation
	its delivery is	-Trip distance	-Immediate manual entry
	completed and		to "Driver daily report"
	returned to CPA		- Daily manual entry to
	facility		"Deliver management file"
			-Periodically digital
			recording to database

#### (vi) Fuel management activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Recording method
B52	Receive receipt with	Amount of fuel	-Visually check receipt
	fuel amount when	purchased	- Keep the copy of receipt in
	filling up the fuel		"Deliver management file"
	tank of vehicles		-Periodically digital
			recording to database

#### o) Facility/Equipment maintenance activity

	Work flow	Parameters	Recording method		
B53	Provide report on	-Date	-Digitally provide report		
	maintenance/repairing	-Event	-Accumulate reports to		
	of facility and	-Countermeasures	"facility management		
	equipment		file"		

#### p) Waste water /residue management activity

	Work flow	Parameter	s	Recording method	
B54	Volume of wastewater	Volume of run-off		- Wastewater pit level scale	
	accumulated will be	water		markings	
	measured			-Visual confirmation	
				- Immediate manual entry	
				to line manager daily	
				report	
				-Manual entry of report to	
				"Production file"	
				-Periodically digital	

			recording to database		
B55	Quality of wastewater	COD of run-off	0		
	at wastewater pit	water	- Immediate manual entry		
	-		to line manager daily		
			report		
			-Manual entry of report to		
			"Production file", together		
			with digital output from		
			COD meter		
			-Periodically digital		
			recording to database		
B56	Residue volume	Residue volume	- Truck scale measurement		
	measurement		- Direct digital entry to		
			database		
			- Immediate manual entry		
			to "MSW file"		

#### q) Sales activity of products

Activity cycle and related documents (Manual data) are as follows

Work flow	Flow	Document and managing unit		
Basic Contract	Sales-Unit-Customer-Sales	-Contract		
	UnitAdministrative Unit-	-Sales Unit		
	Sales Unit			
Receive order	Customer-Sales Unit	-Order form		
		-Sales Unit		
Accept order	Sales Unit(copy)-Customer	-Sales note(copy)		
		-Sales Unit		
Internal order Sales Unit-Production		-Internal ordering slips		
Unit-Deliver management		-Deliver management Unit		
	Unit			
Deliver product	Deliver Management Unit	-Delivery slip		
	(copy)-Customer(original),	-Sales Unit (copy)		
Sales Unit (copy)				
Receive payment	Receive payment Sales Unit(copy)-Customer			
		-Sales Unit (copy),		
Administrative U				

r) Purchasing activity of materials, energies

(iii)	Purchasing	Materials(	including	fuel)
(111)	i urenasing	1 autor land	including	iuci)

Work flow	Flow Document and managing unit		
Contract	Vendor-Any	-Contract	
	Unit(copy)-Administrative	-Administrative unit	
	Unit (original)		
Order material	Any unit-Vendor(original),	-Order form	
	Administrative Unit(copy)	-Administrative unit	
Order accept	er accept Vendor-Any		
	Unit(original)-Administrative	-Administrative unit	
Unit(copy)			
Product Delivery	Vendor-Any	-Delivery slip	
	Unit(original)-Administrative	-Administrative unit	
	Unit(copy)		
Payment	Vendor-Any	-Receipt, Bank account	

Unit-Administrative	-Administrative unit
Unit(original)	

(iv) Purchasing Utilities(Electricity, water)

Work flow		Flow		Document a	nd managing
				unit	
Contract		Vendor-Facility		-Contract	
		Management(copy)-Administrative		-Administrative unit	
		Unit (original)			
Consumption	notice	Vendor-Facility	Management	-Consumption	on notice
(invoice)		Unit-Administrative	Unit	(invoice)	
		(original)		-Administra	tive unit
Payment		Vendor-Facility	Management	Receipt, Bank account	
		Unit(copy)-Administrative			
		Unit(original)			

#### Education of Employees

Based on cooperation agreement between 3 parties (\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA implementer, Local Administrative body, IKE), the staff responsible of monitoring and operation will be initially educated by IKE staff, and also periodically inspected by IKE staff. IKE staff will discuss with the city CPA staff to provide better result in the common purpose, which is the best mix of maximization of green-house gas emission reduction and better result for surrounding environment.

In case of emergency occurred at the facility of during the delivery of products, person on duty will contact directly to\_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA facility manager, besides public fire protection, police and necessary contacts required in common sense.\_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA facility manager will inform\_CPA general director and also CME. The report of emergency shall be issued by the name of \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA facility manager to \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA facility manager to \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA implementer and also CME. This report will be filed in facility management file.

#### Review of reported results/data

C.1. Please indicate the level at which environmental analysis as per requirements of the CDM modalities and procedures is undertaken. Justify the choice of level at which the environmental analysis is undertaken:

 $\square$  Please tick if this information is provided at the PoA level. In this case sections C.2. and C.3. need not be completed in this form.

Environmental analysis has been carried out for the \_\_\_\_\_\_ city CPA. The outcome of the EIA study is summarized in section C.2.

C.2. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts, including transboundary impacts:

>>

Analysis of the EIA conducted based on Vietnam law will be described here

C.3. Please state whether an environmental impact assessment is required for a typical CPA, included in the programme of activities (PoA), in accordance with the <u>host Party</u> <u>laws/regulations</u>:

>>
Yes and environmental impact assessment is required for the same has been carried out for the sites as per Vietnam laws and regulations.

## Comments from host party as the result of EIA will be described here

#### SECTION D. <u>Stakeholders'</u> comments

>>

D.1. Please indicate the level at which local stakeholder comments are invited. Justify the choice:

 $\Box$  Please tick if this information is provided at the PoA level. In this case, sections D.2. to D.4. need not be completed in this form.

Stakeholder consultation process is not required by regulation/laws in the host country.

As indicated in the proposed PoA, interviews with the stakeholders at the PoA level were conducted. They were given the opportunity to discuss and provide comments to the PoA. In addition to the interviews at the PoA level, comments from responsible persons of local administrative authorities and citizens who are specifically related to the Project will be collected at a later date through interviews at the CPA level.

D.2. Brief description how comments by local <u>stakeholders</u> have been invited and compiled:

>>

Stakeholders are selected by local administrative body. Comments will be received from the stakeholders through 1) Stakeholder meeting which will be held in the local administrative area at least once, with announcement to the selected stakeholders by one month before, and 2) Comment will be collected through the comment form, which will be delivered to all stakeholders together with announcement of stakeholder meeting and the form will be collected by CPA implementer during 2 weeks after the stakeholder meeting.

Comments received which needs to be replied to the stake holders must be replied by CPA implementers by one month or less. It has to mention about how the CPA implementers will handle to the comment received.

The summary of above procedure will be reported to each stakeholder before the project implementation.

The report on how the comments are received will be described here.

# D.3. Summary of the comments received:

Comments from local citizens and related agencies are summarized here. Summary of the comments received from the interviewees will be .described here.

#### D.4. Report on how due account was taken of any comments received:

>>

>>

The report on how the comments are received will be described here.

## <u>Annex 1</u>

CONTACT INFORMATION ON ENTITY/INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBLE FOR THE small-scale CPA

Organization:	
Street/P.O.Box:	
Building:	
City:	
State/Region:	
Postfix/ZIP:	
Country:	
Telephone:	
FAX:	
E-Mail:	
URL:	
Represented by:	
Title:	
Salutation:	
Last Name:	
Middle Name:	
First Name:	
Department:	
Mobile:	
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	

## <u>Annex 2</u>

# INFORMATION REGARDING PUBLIC FUNDING

ANNEX 3

#### **BASELINE INFORMATION**

#### DETERMINATION OF THE GRID EMISSION FACTOR IN VIETNAM (EFgridy)

The methodological Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system is applied to

determine the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for the displacement of electricity generated by power plants in an electricity system, by calculating the "operating margin" (OM) and "build margin" (BM) as well as the "combined margin" (CM).

#### STEP 1 Identify the relevant electricity systems

The relevant electricity system is identified as the Vietnamese national grid: the Electricity of Vietnam or EVN. The EVN is a state-owned utility which plans and controls generation, transmission and distribution of electricity in the whole country.

# STEP 2 Choose whether to include off-grid power plants in the project electricity system (optional)

We choose Option I: only grid power plants are included in the calculation.

#### STEP 3 Select a method to determine the operating margin (OM)

As shown on the diagram below, low cost/must-run resources constitute less than 50% of total grid generation in the five most recent years. Thus we will use the simple OM method. We choose to apply the ex-ante option: the emission factor is determined once at the validation stage.



Source : http://www.adb.org/documents/events/2009/Climate-Change-Energy-Workshop/VIE.pdf or http://www.bionersis.com/links/24 ADB 2009: Workshop on Climate and Energy March 2009, Country Report,

Energy and Climate Change in Vietnam

#### STEP 4 Calculate the operating margin emission factor according to the selected method

The simple OM emission factor is calculated as the generation-weighted average CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per unit net electricity generation ( $tCO_2/MWh$ ) of all generating power plants serving the system, not including low-cost / must-run power plants / units.

It will be calculated according to Option B, i.e. based on the total net electricity generation of all power plants serving the system and fuel types and total fuel consumption of the project electricity system. Option B can be used as the necessary data for Option A is not available. Hence, the simple OM emission factor is calculated as follows:

$$\mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{EL},\mathrm{m},\mathrm{y}} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{FC}_{\mathrm{i},\mathrm{m},\mathrm{y}} \cdot \mathrm{NCV}_{\mathrm{i},\mathrm{y}} \cdot \mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{CO2,i},\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{EG}_{\mathrm{m},\mathrm{y}}}$$

Where:

Where:	
EFEL,m,y	$CO_2$ emission factor of power unit <i>m</i> in year <i>y</i> (t $CO_2/MWh$ )
FC <sub>i,m,y</sub>	Amount of fossil fuel type $i$ consumed by power plant / unit $m$ in year $y$ (mass or
volume unit)	
NCV <sub>i,y</sub>	Net calorific value (energy content) of fossil fuel type $i$ in year $y$ (GJ / mass or
volume unit)	
EFco2,i,y CO2 emis	ssion factor of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ)
EG <sub>m,y</sub>	Net electricity generated and delivered to the grid by power plant / unit $m$ in year $y$
(MWh)	
m	All power plants / units serving the grid in year y except low-cost/must-run power
plant /units	
i	All fossil fuel types combusted in power plant / unit m in year y
у	The three most recent years for which data is available at the time of submission of
the CDM-PDD to t	he DOE for validation (ex ante option)

The source used to calculate the OM is the "CDM Baseline construction for Vietnam National Electricity Grid" report by Tran Minh Tuyen and Axel Michaelowa. (Source: http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/26393/1/dp040295.pdf table V.4 page 16 or http://www.bionersis.com/links/23 Tuyen T.M., Michaelowa A. 2004: CDM Baseline Construction for Vietnam National Electricity Grid.)

This report makes reference to official sources (government statistics). Although this report has been published in 2004, it provides projections up to the year 2010 by using sources such as the expansion plan of the state-owned power company 'Electricity of

Vietnam', EVN). Thus, the source used to calculate the grid emission factor for the proposed project activity can be deemed applicable.

Fuel type		2006	2007	2008
Hydropower	GWh	19,502	21,602	24,139
Coal	GWh	8813	11692	14958
5700 kcal/kg-Vietnam	kt	4129	5493	6946
26.8 TC/TJ – IPCC	kt CO <sub>2</sub>	9,498	12,636	15,978
Gas	GWh	29180	30438	35894
8500 kcal/m <sup>3</sup> – VN	Million m <sup>3</sup>	6418	6667	7934
15.3 TC/TJ – IPCC	kt CO <sub>2</sub>	12,697	13,189	15,696
DO	GWh	155	152	153
10200 kcal/kg – VN	kt	45	45	45
20.2 TC/TJ – IPCC	kt CO <sub>2</sub>	141	141	141
FO	GWh	2284	3431	127
9900 kcal/kg – VN	kt	524	782	36
21.1 TC/TJ – IPCC	kt CO <sub>2</sub>	1,665	2,485	114
Total CO <sub>2</sub> emission from Vie	tnam grid, kt CO <sub>2</sub>	24,001	28,451	31,929
Total thermal output generate	ed, GWh	40,432	45,713	51,132
OM: Weighted thermal ave	rage, gCO <sub>2</sub> /kWh	594	622	624

Hence, EFgrid, OM, 2006-2008 = 0.6135 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh

## STEP 4 Identify the group of power units to be included in the build margin

According to the *Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system*, the sample group of power units m used to calculate the build margin consists of either:

(a) The set of five power units that have been built most recently, or

(b) The set of power capacity additions in the electricity system that comprise 20% of the system generation (in MWh) and that have been built most recently.

The same report stated above has been used as a source to identify the group of power units to be included in the build margin. The set of power units that comprises the larger annual generation is identified as option (b) and is listed below:

End of	Grid ca	p., MW	Last five plan	nts	Last 20% plants					
year	Total	20%	Plant	MW	Plant	MW				

			Total	897	Total	3509
					11. Tuyen Quang, hydropower	342
					10. A Vuong, hydropower	170
					9. Hai Phong, coal	600
					8. Quang Ninh, coal	600
					7. Expansion Ninh Binh, coal	300
					6. Nhon Trach, gas	600
			5. Dai Ninh, hydropower	300	5. Dai Ninh, hydropower	300
			4. Srepok 3, hydropower	90	4. Srepok 3, hydropower	90
			3. Cua Dat, hydropower	97	3. Cua Dat, hydropower	97
			2. PleiKrong, hydropower	110	2. PleiKrong, hydropower	110
2008	16,627	3325.4	1. Ban La, hdropower	300	1. Ban La, hdropower	300

Source: http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/26393/1/dp040295.pdf table V.3 page 15, Tuyen T.M., Michaelowa A. 2004: CDM Baseline Construction for Vietnam National Electricity Grid.

We choose to apply the ex-ante option (option 1): the build margin emission factor is determined once at the validation stage, without requirement to monitor and recalculate it during the crediting period.

#### STEP 6 Calculate the build margin emission factor

The build margin emission factor BM is calculated as follows:

$$EF_{grid,BM,y} = \frac{\sum_{m} EG_{m,y} \times EF_{EL,m,y}}{\sum_{m} EG_{m,y}}$$

	m
EFgrid,BM,y	Build margin CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh)
EG <sub>m,y</sub>	Net electricity generated and delivered to the grid by power unit m in year y (MWh)
EFEL,m,y	$CO_2$ emission factor of power unit <i>m</i> in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> /GJ)
m	Power units included in the build margin
у	Most recent year for which power generation is data available

According to the report, the 2008 BM is calculated as following:

Name of power	Type of fuel	Capacity	EG <sub>m,y</sub>	<b>CO</b> <sub>2</sub> emissions	EFebm,2008
plant		(MW)	(GWh)	(ktCO <sub>2</sub> )	(tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh)
1. Ban La	hydro	300	328	0	
2.PleiKrong	hydro	110	175	0	
3. Cua Dat	hydro	97	165	0	
4. Srepok 3	hydro	90	198	0	
5. Dai Ninh	hydro	300	1 143	0	
6. Nhon Trach	gas	600	3 512	1389	
7. Expansion	coal	300	334	342	
Ninh Binh					
8. Quang Ninh	coal	600	1 878	1 922	
9. Hai Phong	coal	600	3 512	3 595	
10. A Vuong	hydro	170	715	0	
11. Tuyen	hydro	342	1 296	0	
Quang					
Tot	al	3,509	13 256	7,248	0.5468

Hence, EFgrid,BM,y = 0.5468 tCO<sub>2</sub>/MWh

## STEP 6 Calculate the combined margin emission factor

The combined margin emission factor CM is calculated as follows:  $\mathbf{EF}_{grid,CM,y} = \mathbf{EF}_{grid,OM,y} * \mathbf{W}_{OM} + \mathbf{EF}_{grid,BM,y} * \mathbf{W}_{BM}$ 

Where:	
EFgrid,OM,y	Operating margin CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh)
EFgrid,BM,y	Build margin CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor in year y (tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh)
WOM	Weighting of operating margin emission factor (%)
WBM	Weighting of build margin emission factor (%)

Using default values set in the Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system:

WOM = WBM = 50%EFgrid,OM,y = 0.6135 EFgrid,BM,y = 0.5468

Hence,  $\mathbf{EF}_{grid,CM,y} = 0.58015 \text{ tCO}_2/\text{MWh}$ Annex 4

### MONITORING INFORMATION



				Chart Annex	4.1-1 Mo	nitoring i	tems and implementation structure (project emissio	ns)				
frequency of monitoring		monitoring it	ems		monitoring lo	ocation	monitoring method				responsibility pers	onnel
frequency	parameter	content(definition)	unit	way of calculation, etc.	position/name	data preservation	how to use way of measurement	QA/QC measure What	QA/ who	QC procedure How	person in charge	manager frequency
$PE_{y, transp} = (Q_y/CT y)^* DAFw^* EFC02 + (Q_{y, comp, i}/CT_{y, comp, i})^* DAFcomp, i^* EFc02$												
annual accumulation of daily monitoring	Qy	Quantity of raw waste treated in the year y	t	Sum of inflow MSW weighed by the truck scale	Entrance of the facility	Paper and electronic data	The weight difference before and after unloading MSW is measured at the truck scale which will be located at the entrance of the facility. The data will be also noted in paper (Date, car number, in coming time and weight, out going time and weight).	Truck scale	truck scale manufacturer	Periodical calibration	Truck management Division	Weekly
annually	сту	Average truck capacity for waste transportation	t/truck	(Sum of inflow MSW weighed by the truck scale) / (sum of MSW transportation truck entered)	Entrance of the facility	Electronic data	Licence plate number and other data of vehicles (company name, car sizes) will be registered initially. Truck scale operator will visually confirm and enter the licence plate number to the database.	1) Truck scale 2) Registrated Information	1) Truck scale manufacturer 2) Truck scale operater	<ol> <li>Periodical calibration</li> <li>Annual confirmation of information to the truck owner</li> </ol>	Truck management Division	Annually
annual accumulation of daily monitoring	DAFw	Average incremental distance for raw solid waste	km/truck	If the CPA facility is build adjacent to present landfill, it will be zero. If not: (Sum of transportation distance after CPA) / (sum of truck numbers after CPA) – (sum of MSW transportation distance before CPA) / (sum of truck numbers before CPA)	Truck management	Paper and electronic data	Driver will note the distance meter amount everyday when starting and after working. Data will be accumulated in computer database on weekly basis.	1) Truck distance meter 2) Daily driver report	Truck management dicvision	<ol> <li>Run test</li> <li>Weekly meeting with drivers</li> </ol>	Truck management Division	1) Annually 2) Weekly
annually	EFco2	CO2 emission factor from fossil fuel use due to transportation	kg/CO2/ł m	Calorific value * Density * CO2 emission amout	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Value will be calculated	Calculated result	More than 2 people re- calculate	excel, calculater	Technical Division	Annually
Periodically	i	Type of items shipped out from the facility	-	count number of types	Sales division	Paper and electronic data	Count numbers of shipping items from shipping list				the person in charge of technology	Anually
annual accumulation of daily monitoring	Q y,comp, i	Quantity of residual waste, recycled products and compost produced in the year y	t	Sum of outflow residual waste, recycled product and compost weighed by the truck scale	Entrance of the facility Sales Division Truck Management Division	Paper and electronic data	The weight difference before and after loading residual waste, recycled products and compost is measured at the truck scale which will be located at the entrance of the facility. The data will be also noted in paper (Date, car number, in coming time and weight, out going time and weight).	Truck scale	truck scale manufacturer	Periodical calibration	Truck management Division	Anually
annually	CTy,comp, i	Average truck capacity for residual waste, recycled products and compost transportation	t/truck	(Sum of out flow residual waste, recycled products and compost weighed by the truck scale) / (sum of residual waste, recycled products and compost transportation truck entered)	Entrance of the facility	Electronic data	Licence plate number and other data of vehicles (company name, car sizes) will be registered initially. Truck scale operator will visually confirm and enter the licence plate number to the database each time when the truck ships residual waste, recyclable products and compost. Weight data will be recorded in the database.	Truck scale	truck scale manufacturer	Periodical calibration	Truck management Division	Anually
annual accumulation of daily monitoring	DAF comp,i	Average distance for residual waste, recycled products and compost transportation	km/truck	(Sum of transportation distance of residual waste, recycled products and compost) / (sum of truck numbers)	Truck management dicvision	Paper and electronic data	Driver will note the distance meter amount everyday when starting and after working. Data will be accumulated in computer database on weekly basis.	1) Truck distance meter 2) Daily driver report	Truck management dicvision	<ol> <li>Run test</li> <li>Weekly meeting with drivers</li> </ol>	the person in charge of weighing	Anually
					Sales division	Paper and electronic data	The place of sales will be kept in the shipping record (according to sales slips).	Location of the desitnation	Sales division	confirm map information	Sales division	Periodically



				Chart Annex	4.1-2 Mo	nitoring i	tems and implementation structure (project emissio	ns)				
frequency of monitoring		monitoring it	ems		monitoring l	ocation	monitoring method				responsibility per	rsonnel
frequency	parameter	content(definition)	unit	way of calculation, etc.	position/name	data preservation	how to use way of measurement	QA/QC measure What	QA. who	QC procedure How	person in charge	manager frequency
	PE y, powe	er = PE electricity, y + PE fuel, onsite, y					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				•	
	PE electric	ity, y = MWh e,y * EF co2, grid,y										
Annual accumulation of monthly data	MWh e,y	Amount of electricity consumed from the grid in the project activity, measured using an electricity meter	MWh	Sum of purchased electricity amount stated on bill of the power company		Paper and electronic data	Confirmation of quantity of purchased electricity by checking the record of the bills	<ol> <li>Watt-hour meter</li> <li>Cross check between technical division</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Power company</li> <li>Technical division</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Periodical calibration</li> <li>Check operation report and compare with average power</li> </ol>	General affairs division	monthly
Annually	EF co2, grid,y	Emission factor for electricity generation of the national grid	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MW h	A combined margin (CM), consisting of the combination of operating margin (OM) and build margin (BM) according to the procedures prescribed in the "Tool to calculate the Emission Factor for an electricity system"	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Collect necessary data from official database. Calculate the value based on the instruction of "Tool to calculate the Emission Factor for an electricity system"	Calculated result	More than 2 people re- calculate	consumption data	Technical Division	Annually
	PE fuel, on	site, y = F cons, y * EF fuel					•			·		
Annual accumulation of monthly data	F cons, y	Fuel consumption on the site in year y	L/Y	Sum of purchased fuel amount stated on bill of the fuel company	General affairs division	Paper and electronic data	Confirmation of quantity of purchased fuel by checking the record of the bills	Cross check between truck management division	Truck Management Division	Check driver report and compare with average consumption data	General affairs division	monthly
Annually	EF fuel	CO2 emissions factor of the fuel	2 emissions factor of the fuel kgCO2/L Calorific value * Density * CO2 emission amout				Value will be calculated	Calculated result	More than 2 people re- calculate	excel, calculater	Technical Division	Annually
frequency of		monitoring it	ems		monitoring l	ocation	monitoring method				responsibility per	rsonnel
frequency	parameter content(definition) unit way of calculation, etc.				position/name data		how to use	QA/Qcmeasure		QC procedure	person in charge	manager
noquonoy	PE y, comp = Qy * EF composting * GWP_CH4					preservation	way of measurement	What	who	How	poroon in ondigo	frequency
Annually		Quantity of raw waste treated in the year y	t	Sum of inflow MSW weighed by the truck scale	Entrance of the facility	Paper and electronic data	The weight difference before and after unloading MSW is measured at the truck scale which will be located at the entrance of the facility. The data will be also noted in paper (Date, car number, in coming time and weight, out going time and weight).	Truck scale	truck scale manufacturer	Periodical calibration	Truck management Division	Weekly
Annually	EF compostin g	taken at 4 kg methane / ton wet	tCH4/ton wet	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	GWP_CH 4	Global Warming Potential (GWP) of methane valid for the relevant commitment period, taken at 21 for the first commitment period of Kyoto Protocol	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
frequency of												
monitoring		monitoring it		1	monitoring l	ocation data	monitoring method	QA/Qcmeasure		QC procedure	responsibility per	
frequency	parameter	content(definition)	unit	way of calculation, etc.	position/name	preservation	way of measurement	What	who	How	person in charge	frequency
	PE y, runot	ff = Q y, ww, runoff * COD y, ww, runoff *	B o, ww *	MCF ww, trteatment * UF b * GWP	_CH4							
once in a week	Q y,ww,runoff	Volume of runoff water in year y	m3	on-site measurement by waste water pit	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Check the amount of wastewater accumulated in waste water pit by level.	Wastewater pit	Technical Division	Periodically confirm the leak	Technical Division	Monthly
once in 2weeks	COD y.ww.runoff	Chemical oxygen demand of runoff water leaving the composting facility in year y	t/m3	on-site measurement by simple COD measure	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Recorfing the value indicated in the simple COD measure	simple COD measure	manufacturer of COD measure	Periodical calibration	Technical Division	Monthly
Annually	B o,ww	Methane producing capacity of waste water taken at IPCC default value of 0.25kg/kgCOD	kgCH4/kg COD	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	MCF ww.treatment	Methane correction factor for waste water treatment plant	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	UF b	Model correction factor to account for uncertainties default of 1.12	-	confirmation of methodology (AMS.III.F)	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually		Global Warming Potential (GWP) of methane valid for the relevant commitment period, taken at 21 for the first commitment period of Kyoto Protocol	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually



				Chart Annex	4.1-3 Mo	nitoring i	tems and implementation structure (project emissio	ns)				
frequency of monitoring		monitoring its	ems		monitoring l		monitoring method				responsibility pers	sonnel
frequency	parameter	content(definition)	unit	way of calculation, etc.	position/name	data preservation	how to use way of measurement	QA/Qcmeasure What	QA who	QC procedure How	person in charge	manager frequency
	PE y,landfill	= φ • (1- f) • GWPCH4 • (1-OX) • 16/12 • I	- DOC f	• MCF • ΣΣ J Wj,x • DOC J • e-kj • (y-x	r)•(1-e-kj)							
Annually	9	Model correction factor to account for model uncertainties (0.9)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	f	Fraction of methane captured at the SWDS and flared, combusted or used in another manner	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	nfirmation of the value baseline scenario baseline scenario Division made (see monitoring team of the value)		made (see monitoring	Technical Division	Annually	
Annually	GWPCH4	Global Warming Potential (GWP) of methane, valid for the relevant commitment period (21)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	ох	Oxidation factor (reflecting the amount of methane from SWDSthat is oxidised in the soil or other material covering the waste)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	F	Fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (volume fraction) (0.5)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	DOCF	Fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC) that can decompose (0.5)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	MCF	Methane correction factor	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
once in 3months	Wj,x	Amount of organic waste type j prevented from disposal in the SWDS in the year x	t	(Composition analysis by weight) * (Q y)	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Annual average of composition of each organic fractions in mixed waste analyzed every 3 months multiplied by waste volume of 3 months.	Truck scale	truck scale manufacturer	Periodical calibration	Technical Division	Annually
Annually	DOC;	Fraction of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in the waste type j	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	kj	Decay rate for the waste type j	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
once in 3months	j	Waste type catetgory (index)	-	Composition analysis by weight	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Composition analysis by weight				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	×	Year during the crediting period: x runs from the first year of the first crediting period (x=1) to the year y for which avoided emissions are calculated (x=y)	-	-								
Annually	У	Year for which methane emissions are calculated	-	-								



			Char	t Annex 4.2 Monitoring items			ture (baseline emis					
frequency of		monitoring items			monitorir	g location		monitoring me			responsibility persor	
frequency	parameter	content(definition)	unit	way of calculation, etc.	position/name	data preservation	how to use	QA/QC measure		QC procedure	person in charge	manager
, ,				,			way of measurement	What	who	How		frequency
3Ey = BECH	14,SWDS,Y-(MD	y,reg*GWP_CH4)+(MEPy,ww*GWP_CH4)+BECH4,manure,y		,		1	r	T	T	I	T	-1
	BECH4,SWDS,Y	yearly methane generation potential of the solid waste composted or anaerobically digasted by the project activity during the years 'x' from the biginning of the project activity(x=1) up to the year y estimated as per the latest version of the "Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site(tCO2e)"	t	see items below								
	MDy,reg	Amount of methane that would have to be captured and combusted in the year y to comply with the prevailing regulations (tonne)	t	There is no regulation on this matter in Viet Nam, and methane gas will not be recovered or incinerated, thus the value of this parameter is 0 in the baseline scenario.								
	MEPy ww	Methane emission potential in the year y of the wastewater co-composted. The value of this term is zero if co-composting of wastewater is not included in the project activity (tonne)	t	The value is 0 because runoff waste water will not be co-composted.								
	BE CH4, manure, y	Where applicable, baseline emissions from manure composted by the project activities, as per the procedures of AMS-III.D		The value is 0 because this project will not treat manure.								
	BECH4,SWDS,	Y=φ • (1-f) • GWPCH4 • (1-OX) • 16/12 • F • DOC f• MCF • ΣΣΙ	Wj,x • DO	Cj•e-kj•(y-x)•(1-e-kj)			·				·	
Annually	φ	Model correction factor to account for model uncertainties (0.9)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	f	Fraction of methane captured at the SWDS and flared, combusted or used in another manner	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value	baseline scenario	Technical Division	confirm weather or not any change has been made (see monitoring items of additionality)	Technical Division	Annually
Annually	GWPCH4	Global Warming Potential (GWP) of methane, valid for the relevant commitment period(21)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	ox	Oxidation factor (reflecting the amount of methane from SWDSthat is oxidised in the soil or other material covering the waste)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	F	Fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (volume fraction) (0.5)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	DOCF	Fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC) that can decompose (0.5)	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division		confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	MCF	Methane correction factor	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Once in 3 months	Wj,x	Amount of organic waste type j prevented from disposal in the SWDS in the year x	t	(Composition analysis by weight) * (Q y)	Technical Division	Paper and electronic	Annual average of composition of each organic fractions in mixed waste analyzed every 3 months multiplied by waste volume of 3 months.	Truck scale	truck scale manufacturer	Periodical calibration	Technical Division	Annually
Annually	DOC;	Fraction of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in the waste type j	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	kj	Decay rate for the waste type j	-	confirmation of IPCC default value	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	confirmation of the value				Technical Division	Annually
Once in 3 months	I	Waste type catetgory (index)	-	Composition analysis by weight	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	Composition analysis by weight				Technical Division	Annually
Annually	x	Year during the crediting period: x runs from the first year of the first crediting period (x=1) to the year y for which avoided emissions are calculated (x=y)	-	-								
			1	1		1		1		1	1	



Chart Annex 4.3 Monitoring items and implementation structure (additionality)												
frequency of monitoring		monitoring items				points	way of monitoring			person who implements monitoring		
frequency	parameter	content(definition)	unit	way of calculation, etc.	position/name	data	how to use	QA/Qcmeasure	QA	/QC procedure		manager
						preservation	way of measurement	What	who	How	person in charge	frequency
Annually		Confirmation fo existence of legal documents directs to reduce GHG from MSW	-	Confirmation of legal documents	Technical Division	Paper and electronic data	research of laws and regulations at related ministries				Technical Division	Annually
every 6 months		diffusion rate of composting	-	(Number of MSW composting facility in city level) / (Number of city level local administration bodies)	Technical Division		Update of information from Vietnam Urban Environment and Industrial Zone Assosiation(CME)				Technical Division	Annually

- - - - -